UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,857	07/31/2003	Carey Nachenberg	20423-07776	4612
34415 SYMANTEC/ I	7590 06/16/200 FENWICK	EXAMINER		
SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA STREET			POLTORAK, PIOTR	
	YIEW, CA 94041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/16/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptoc@fenwick.com bhoffman@fenwick.com aprice@fenwick.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/632,857	NACHENBERG,	CAREY		
interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	PETER POLTORAK	2134			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>PETER POLTORAK</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Parker Zang</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>6/2/08</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	r)∏ applicant's representative	•]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed:					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)∐ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant advised that the Office did not supply applicant with signed copy of IDS (initially submitted on Oct. 3/05 and Feb 24/08) and requested for such copies. The examiner submits the signed copies of these documents with the Interview Summary for applicant review. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
	/Kambiz Zand/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Examiner's signature, if requi				